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Styloid Process: What Length Is Abnormal?

Omair Shah*, Faiz Shera, Naseer Choh, Tariq Gojwari, Fahad Shafi, Jan Suhail, Musadiq Rafiq

Abstract
The length of the styloid process varies greatly in different populations and depends on ethnicity and geographical background. The elongated styloid process may be associated with Eagle’s syndrome. Therefore, the mean normal length of the styloid process in different population groups needs to be calculated and the upper cutoff limit for elongated styloid process should be found.

The objective of the research was to evaluate the styloid process length in the Kashmiri population using multidetector computed tomography.

Materials and Methods. We retrospectively evaluated 304 patients who underwent computed tomography of the head and paranasal sinuses, and the mean styloid process length was calculated on both sides. The mean of three measurements of styloid process length was taken. The study population was grouped as follows: Group I included patients at the age of 21-30 years; Group II comprised patients at the age of 31-40 years; Group III included 68 patients at the age of 41-50 years; Group IV comprised patients > 50 years old.

Results. The mean length of the styloid process in the studied population varied from 20 to 51 mm (mean 31.3 ± 4.5 mm). There was no significant difference in the length on both sides (p=0.835). The mean length of the styloid process was 30.1 ± 4.2 mm in females and 32.3 ± 4.8 mm in males (p < 0.034). The lengths of the styloid process in different age groups were as follows: in Group I – 30.9 ± 4.4 mm; in Group II – 31.2 ± 4.8 mm; in Group III – 31.6 ± 4.3 mm; in Group IV – 31.5 ± 4.5 mm.

Conclusions. The mean length of the styloid process in our population was higher as compared to many other ethnic groups. The styloid process in males was longer. The elongated styloid process on computed tomography scan should not be labeled as Eagle’s syndrome unless clinical symptoms are present.

Keywords
Styloid Process; Computed Tomography; Eagle’s Syndrome; Shaded Surface Display

Department of Radiology, Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences Soura, Srinagar, Jammu & Kashmir, India
*Corresponding author: shahomair133@gmail.com

Embryologically, the styloid process develops from the proximal cartilage of the second pharyngeal arch which gives rise to the lesser cornu of the hyoid and the upper part of the body of the hyoid as well [2, 7]. The elongated styloid process has been found in 14% of the population [3, 8]. In addition, the ossification of the styloid ligament due to retaining some of its embryonic cartilage was common; however, symptoms in these patients were observed in 1-5% of cases only [7–10].

The ossification of the styloid complex varies from person to person. Depending on the patient profile, symptoms may occur in a particular individual with varying degrees of ossification of the styloid complex and different lengths of the styloid process. The most commonly reported symptoms in Eagle’s
syndrome include sore throat, odynophagia, headache and facial pain. The symptoms have been attributed to the compression of nerves and vessels in the vicinity of the styloid process [2, 11]. Eagle’s syndrome was first described by Eagle in patients undergoing tonsillectomy and having the elongated styloid process on imaging. In the original description of Eagle’s syndrome, a process longer than 2.5 cm was taken as elongated. However, imaging evidence of the elongated styloid process alone should not be taken as an indication for treatment as the elongated styloid process may be normal anatomical variance causing no symptoms. The elongated styloid process may also cause pain in the vessels which it compresses (the internal and external carotid arteries) that is called carotodynia [12]. Rarely, the styloid process can lead to the internal jugular vein (IJV) compression and resulting venous hypertension can induce the development of dural arteriovenous fistulas [13].

Based on visualization of the styloid process, it has been classified into the following types: normal, elongated (> 25 mm), pseudo articulated and segmented [13–15]. The main treatment for Eagle’s syndrome is surgical resection of the elongated styloid process. Styloid process resection may also be carried out as a part of surgery for mandibular protrusion [16]. Stylo-tonsillectomy has been proposed by some centers as a safer approach with a low complication rate and earlier relief of preoperative symptoms [17]. Some centers have preferred the paratonsillar approach which is minimally invasive and can be done on a day-care basis with little postoperative complications [18]. With advances in surgery, the transoral robotic approach has also been tried in treatment of patients with Eagle’s syndrome [19].

Based on the wide variations in the normal length of the styloid process, we conducted this study to obtain an overview of styloid process lengths in our population.

The objective of the research was to formulate the average value of styloid process length in our population as the values provided by previous studies cannot be applied to our population due to geographic and ethnic variations.

Materials and Methods

In this study, we evaluated computed tomography (CT) scans of the head and paranasal sinuses in 304 patients between October 2016 and December 2019. Out of 304 images evaluated, 224 were head CT scans, while the rest 80 images were paranasal sinus CT scans. The patients included in the study underwent CT due to trauma, headache, sinusitis/nasal blockade, and other causes not associated with the elongated styloid process. All the images that clearly showed the entire length of the styloid process were included in the study, while those in which the process was fractured due to trauma, not clearly visualized due to motion artifacts, or not fully imaged, were excluded. Any symptom that could point to carotodynia, or Eagle’s syndrome, was identified and all the patients with signs suggestive of Eagle’s syndrome were excluded. A total of 10 patients who were later diagnosed with Eagle’s syndrome were excluded. Among the patients in our study, there were 175 males and 129 females, and they were grouped according to age: Group I included 105 patients at the age of 21-30 years; Group II comprised 55 patients at the age of 31-40 years; Group III included 68 patients at the age of 41-50 years; Group IV comprised 76 patients > 50 years old.

All the scans in our study were done using 16-slice MDCT scanner (Siemens, Somatom). The styloid processes on both sides were fully measured after obtaining a curved multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) image by tracking the process (Fig. 1).

The final length of a particular styloid process was obtained by calculating the mean of three measurements. For comparison, the mean length of the styloid process was obtained in males and females, as well as in different age groups. A history of imaging indications and clinical symptoms was obtained from the Medical Records Department (MRD). The data obtained were evaluated using SPSS statistical software (SPSS 21, IL, USA) and expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). The comparison between various age groups was done by applying the Kruskal-Wallis test. A p-value of less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

The limitations of our study included the limited number of patients with Eagle’s syndrome against which a comparison could have been made to obtain a reliable cut-off value for diagnosing Eagle’s syndrome.

Ethical Clearance

In view of retrospective nature of the study and no active or passive involvement of the subjects, the need for ethical clearance was waived.

Informed Consent

Informed consent was obtained from each patient by the Medical Records Department.

Results

Patient Profile

The mean patients’ age in our study was 40.5 ± 7.4 years. The age range was 21-65 years. Out of 304 patients included in our study, 175 (57.56%) were males and 129 (42.43%) were females.

Styloid Process Length

The mean length of the styloid process in our study was 31.1 ± 4.2 mm (range 20-49 mm) on the right side and 31.6 ± 4.7 mm (range 22-51 mm) on the left side. The size of the styloid process on both sides did not differ significantly (p = 0.835). When considering the mean length of the styloid process on both sides in an individual, the mean length of the styloid process in our study population varied from 20 to 51 mm (mean 31.3 ± 4.5 mm) (95% confidence interval (CI) 30.8 to 31.8).

The mean length of the styloid process was 30.1 ± 4.2 mm in females (Fig. 2) and 32.3 ± 4.8 mm in males, and
the difference was statistically significant ($p < 0.034$) (Table 1).

**Table 1. Mean styloid process lengths in males and females.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>Females</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>$44.3 \pm 4.8$</td>
<td>$38.7 \pm 4.0$</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean styloid length, mm</td>
<td>$32.3 \pm 4.8$</td>
<td>$30.1 \pm 4.2$</td>
<td>&lt;0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>$31.8 – 32.8$</td>
<td>$29.6 – 30.6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The mean lengths of the styloid process in different age groups were as follows: in Group I – $30.9 \pm 4.4$ mm; in Group II – $31.2 \pm 4.8$ mm; in Group III – $31.6 \pm 4.3$ mm; in Group IV – $31.5 \pm 4.5$ mm (Table 2). The mean lengths in different age groups did not differ statistically ($p > 0.75$).

In addition, we evaluated 10 patients who were excluded from the study due to signs of Eagle’s syndrome and found that the mean length of the styloid process in these patients was $42.5 \pm 9.5$ mm and a range of 41-58 mm (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Our study was aimed at evaluating the mean lengths of the styloid process in our population. We retrospectively evaluated 304 patients and calculated their mean length of the styloid process. The mean patients’ age in our study was $40.5 \pm 7.4$ years. Patient profile in our study was comparable to Cullu N et al. [20] whose study included 160 patients with mean age of $37.5 \pm 6.4$ years.

In our study, we found that the mean length of the styloid process considering the mean value of the bilateral styloid processes in an individual varied from 20 to 51 mm (mean $31.3 \pm 4.5$). The mean length on the right side was $31.1 \pm 4.2$ (range 20-49) mm and $31.6 \pm 4.7$ (range 22-51) mm on the left side. The lengths of the styloid pro-
process on both sides in an individual were comparable (p = 0.835). In females, the mean length of the styloid process was 30.1 ± 4.2 mm, while in males, it was about 32.3 ± 4.8 mm. This was contrary to the fact that Eagle’s syndrome is more common in females. The difference in the length between genders was statistically significant (p < 0.034). Our results were in concordance with the findings provided by Cullu N et al. [20], who found that the mean length of the styloid process was 28.4 ± 5.5 mm. They found a statistically significant difference (p < 0.028) in the mean length of the styloid process between males (29.2 ± 5.6 mm) and females (27.2 ± 5.2 mm). Our results matched those obtained by Ekici F et al. [21], who found that the styloid processes were longer in males (33.2 ± 13.2 mm) as compared to females (29.6 ± 10.5 mm) (p < 0.001). The mean length in their study was 31.2 ± 11.9 mm. According to Yetiser S et al. [22], the mean lengths of the styloid processes varied from 20 to 32 mm. Yavuz H et al. [23], who conducted the study among Turkish population, found that the mean length of the styloid process was 50 mm and 52 mm on the right and left sides, respectively. The findings provided by Yavuz H et al. [23] were markedly different from our results which could probably be due to geographical and ethnic differences between the two populations studied. Our results showed that the length of the styloid process depended mainly on the geographical and ethnic background of the population. In addition, the mean length of the styloid process in our population was marginally higher and fell within elongated styloid classification, as sug-
Figure 3. Curved sagittal MPR (a) and shaded surface display (b) images showing the length of the styloid process in a 36-year-old woman with clinical diagnosis of Eagle’s syndrome.

In our study, we calculated the mean length of the styloid process in different age groups and found that there was no significant difference in the mean length of the styloid process in the age range of 21 to 65 years ($p > 0.75$). The mean length of the styloid process in different age groups were as follows: in Group I (21-30 years) – 30.9 ± 4.4 mm; in Group II (31-40 years) – 31.2 ± 4.8 mm; in Group III (41-50 years) – 31.6 ± 4.3 mm; in Group IV (> 50 years) – 31.5 ± 4.5 mm. These findings were corroborated with the findings provided by Cullu N et al. [20], who calculated the mean lengths of the styloid process of people in the 3rd and 4th decades of life and found no statistically significant difference ($p > 0.718$).

In our study, we additionally evaluated 10 patients with clinical signs suggestive of Eagle’s syndrome and calculated the mean length of the styloid process in these patients. We found that the mean length of the styloid process in these patients was 42.5 ± 9.5 mm and a range of 41-58 mm. Moreover, among these 10 patients, there were 7 females and 3 males, indicating a predominance of women. However, due to the smaller number of patients, we could not formulate the cutoff value that could be used for diagnosis of Eagle’s syndrome. However, despite some overlap, all patients with Eagle’s syndrome had a longer styloid process as compared to females. There was no significant variation in the length of the styloid process in different age groups (the 4th and 5th decade in their study).

Conclusions

The mean length of the styloid process varied widely depending on the ethnic and geographic background of the studied population. The mean length of the styloid process in our population was 31.3 ± 4.5 mm with no significant variation on both sides. Males had a longer styloid process as compared to females. There was no significant variation in the length of the styloid process in different age groups (21-65 years). The length of the styloid process alone is not sufficient for diagnosing Eagle’s syndrome; however, the mean length of the styloid process > 41 mm should raise strong suspicion of Eagle’s syndrome in our population and encourage active research on associated clinical signs and symptoms.
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